

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,387	12/23/2003	Masahiko Matsukawa	21581-00314-US	7938
30678 7	590 10/17/2005	EXAMINER		
	BOVE LODGE & H	JENKINS, DANIEL J		
SUITE 800 1990 M STRE	ET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20036-3425			

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/743,387	MATSUKAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel J. Jenkins	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Mar</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed to the proper acceptance acce	election requirement. c. cpted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Second is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the drawing(s) is o	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/23/04;5/3/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/743,387 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO99/14399 (WO'399).

WO'399 discloses the invention substantially as claimed. WO'399 discloses a conversion coating method comprising:

providing a chemical conversions coating upon a substrate; wherein the chemical conversion coating agent comprises

at least one of an additional compound selected from a group comprising zirconium, tantalum and fluorine (page 5, lines 23-28); and

an amino containing silane group compound that falls within the group as claimed by Applicant, establishing a prima facie case of obviousness. (page 5, lines 10-21).

WO'399 further discloses wherein said agent has a pH of less than 5 (page 6, liens 20-24), overlapping Applicant's claimed range establishing a prima facie case of obviousness.

WO'399 further discloses wherein said additional compound is added to the agent in amounts which overlap the range as claimed by Applicant, establishing a prima facie case of obviousness.

WO'399 further discloses additional material added to the conversion coating that fall within Applicant's claimed invenition,

4. Claims 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO99/14399 (WO'399) in view of Wada et al. WO'399 discloses the invention substantially as claimed (see paragraph 3 above). However, WO'399 does not disclose wherein the agent further comprises an accelerator.

Wada et al. teaches in the same field of endeavor that accelerators including compounds as claimed by Applicant can be used in conversion coatings to improve the effect of the coating upon the substrate.

Art Unit: 1742

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use an accelerator as taught by Wada et al. in the invention of WO'399 in order to improve the effectiveness of the coating upon the substrate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 571-272-1242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1242. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Daniel J. Jenkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742